In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

CWP No. 17307 of 2005

Date of Decision: October 23, 2006

Surat Singh

…Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. S.R. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J. (Oral)

Aggrieved against the action of the respondents in terminating the contractual appointment of the petitioner w.e.f. 26.10.2005, the petitioner has filed the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution. A further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to continue to work on contractual basis till such time the post held by him is filled up by regular process of selection. Still further it has been prayed that direction be issued to the respondents to pay salary to the petitioner in the regular pay scale of the post held by him.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties we are of the considered view that no such directions could be issued in view of the Constitution Bench judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka & others v. Umadevi & others, (2006) 4 SCC 1** as well as a detailed judgment of this Court in the case of **Rajinder Kumar v. State of Haryana, 2006 (2) PLR 474**.

Therefore, the instant petition fails and the same is dismissed.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 23, 2006
Pkapoor